



PUBLIC RI REPORT

2021 PILOT

Sector Asset Management AS

Generated 2022-11-21

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	7
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	19
Listed Equity (LE)	38
Hedge Fund (HF)	53

Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

Senior leadership statement

Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?
- What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?

As a signatory of the six principles of responsible investment, Sector Asset Management strongly believe that an economically efficient and sustainable global financial system is a necessity for long-term value creation. More than before both customers and employees make their choices based on values and purpose, framing questions on carbon footprint and how companies contribute to a more circular economy. ESG issues into the investment process help Investment Managers to sharpen their minds on these extra-financial risks that may be less obvious but can have a material impact over time. Hence the principles go to the core of prudent risk management.

We encourage the Investment managers on our platform to acknowledge the risks and opportunities related to applying a broad perspective in assessing various businesses and believe living the UN PRI principles helps in delivering higher return with better controlled risk to the investors.

Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Sector Asset Management is continuously evaluating new investment teams to bring on the platform. Although we did not bring on new managers this year, the two managers we spent most time analysing were in the renewable energy space.

Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

We are trying to engage with organisations and scholars to have them understand that the rebound effect of energy savings will cause less CO2 emission reduction than hoped for. We believe the solution to the CO2 problem resides with building a robust system of renewable energy supply, and will try to facilitate capital allocation to this endeavour.

Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	Wollert Hvide
Position	Managing Director
Organisation's name	Sector Asset Management

● This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by Sector Asset Management AS in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of Sector Asset Management AS's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

Organisational Overview (OO)

Organisational information

Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

☐ (O) Fund management

☐ (1) This is our only (or primary) type

Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

☒ (A) Yes

☐ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.1	CORE	OO 2	OO 2.2	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

List your subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories.

☒ (A) Signatory name:

Incentive AS

☒ (B) Signatory name:

Sector Gamma AS

- ☐ (C) Signatory name:
☐ (D) Signatory name:
☐ (E) Signatory name:
☐ (F) Signatory name:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.2	CORE	OO 2.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Indicate if you would like to report the responsible investment activities of your subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories in this submission.

	(1) Responsible investment activities of this subsidiary and PRI signatory will be included in this report submission	(2) Responsible investment activities of this subsidiary and PRI signatory will be included in their own report submission
(A) Signatory name	☒	☐
(B) Signatory name	☒	☐

Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

Assets under management

All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 2,805,901,643.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

Percentage of AUM	
(A) Listed equity – internal	50-75%
(B) Listed equity – external	0.0%
(C) Fixed income – internal	0.0%

(D) Fixed income – external	0.0%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0.0%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	0.0%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	10-50%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%
(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Passive equity	0.0%
(2) Active – quantitative	0.0%
(3) Active – fundamental	>75%
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0.0%
(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 HF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	OO 9 HF, OO 10	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your hedge fund assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Multi strategy	0.0%
(2) Long/short equity	>75%
(3) Long/short credit	0.0%
(4) Distressed, special situations and event-driven fundamental	0.0%
(5) Structured credit	0.0%

(6) Global macro	0.0%
(7) Commodity trading advisor	0.0%
(8) Other, please specify:	0.0%

ESG strategies

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity:

(A) Screening alone	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0.0%

Hedge funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 HF	CORE	OO 5	HF 10	PUBLIC	Hedge funds	1

Do you conduct negative screening on your hedge fund assets?

- ☒ (A) Yes
- ☐ (B) No

Stewardship

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active
(A) Through service providers	☐	☒
(C) Through internal staff	☒	☐
(D) Collaboratively	☐	☐
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity	☐	☐

Hedge funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 HF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 HF	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Hedge funds	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your hedge fund assets?

	(1) Engagement	(2) (Proxy) voting
(A) Through service providers	☐	☒
(C) Through internal staff	☒	☐
(D) Collaboratively	☐	☐

(E) We did not conduct this
stewardship activity



ESG incorporation

Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

(1) ESG incorporated into investment
decisions

(2) ESG not incorporated into investment
decisions

(C) Listed equity – active –
fundamental



(N) Hedge funds - Long/short
equity



Voluntary reporting

Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.

(1) Yes, report on the module

ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy	☒
(A) Listed equity	☒
(I) Hedge funds	☒

ESG/sustainability funds and products

Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

	Percentage
(B) Listed equity – active	0.0%
(H) Hedge funds	0.0%

Climate investments

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

0.0%

Other asset breakdowns

Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

	(1) Listed equity	(9) Hedge funds
(A) Developed	>75%	>75%
(B) Emerging	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%	0.0%

Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

Responsible investment policy & governance

Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- ☒ (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
☐ (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
☐ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
☐ (C) Guidelines on social factors
☐ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
☒ (E) Approach to stewardship
☐ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
☐ (G) Approach to exclusions
☐ (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
☐ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
☒ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
☐ (K) Responsible investment governance structure
☐ (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment

- ☐ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- ☐ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☒ (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:
How ESG is integrated into the investment process (subsidiary's policy).

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):
<https://www.sector.no/en/subpages/esg-policy>, <https://sectorgamma.no/about/>, <https://www.incentive.com/sustainability-policy/>
- ☒ (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s):
<https://sectorgamma.no/about/>, <https://www.incentive.com/sustainability-policy/>
- ☒ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):
<https://www.incentive.com/sustainability-policy/>
- ☒ (O) Other responsible investment aspects [as specified] Add link(s):
<https://sectorgamma.no/about/>
- ☐ (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

>75%

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☐ (A) Board and/or trustees
☒ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
☐ (C) Investment committee
☒ (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
 CEOs in our subsidiaries, Sector Gamma AS and Incentive AS, have formal oversight and accountability for RI in their respective companies.
☐ (E) Head of department, please specify department:
☐ (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- ☐ (A) Board and/or trustees
☒ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
☐ (C) Investment committee
☒ (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
☐ (E) Head of department [as specified]
☒ (F) Portfolio managers
☒ (G) Investment analysts
☐ (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
☐ (I) Investor relations
☐ (J) External managers or service providers
☐ (K) Other role, please specify:
☐ (L) Other role, please specify:
☐ (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(2) Chief-level staff	(4) Other chief-level staff [as specified]	(6) Portfolio managers	(7) Investment analysts
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	☒	☒	☒	☒
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	☐	☒	☒	☒
(D) Objective for ESG performance	☐	☐	☐	☒
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	☐	☐	☐	☐
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	☐	☐	☐	☐
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	☐	☐	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:

(2) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities ☐

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach ☐

(4) Other chief-level staff

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities ☐

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach ☐

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions) ☐

(6) Portfolio managers

(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities ☐

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach ☐

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions) ☐

(7) Investment analysts

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	☐
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	☐
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	☐
(D) Objective for ESG performance	☐
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	☒

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- ☐ (A) Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ (B) Bi-annually
- ☐ (C) Annually
- ☐ (D) Less frequently than annually
- ☒ (E) On an ad hoc basis
- ☐ (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- ☐ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
☐ (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
☐ (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
☒ (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

Stewardship

Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

(A) Listed equity	>75%
(F) Hedge funds	>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- ☒ (A) Key stewardship objectives
- ☐ (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- ☐ (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- ☐ (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☐ (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☐ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)
- ☐ (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☐ (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- ☐ (I) Escalation strategies
- ☐ (J) Conflicts of interest
- ☐ (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled
- ☐ (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

- ☒ (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions
- ☐ (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)
- ☐ (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional
- ☐ (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(6) Hedge funds
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	☒	☐
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	☐	☒
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	☐	☐
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	☐	☐

Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(6) Hedge funds
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property	☐	☐
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance	☒	☒
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)	☐	☐
(D) The ESG rating of the entity	☐	☐
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance	☐	☐
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients	☐	☐
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries	☐	☐
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:	☐	☐
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets	☐	☐

Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

- ☐ (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts
- ☐ (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool
- ☐ (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation
- ☒ (D) **We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis**
- ☐ (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

	(1) Listed equity	(3) Hedge funds
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors	☐	☐
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal	☐	☐
(C) Publicly engaging the entity (e.g. open letter)	☐	☐
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors	☐	☐

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors	☐	☐
(F) Voting against the annual financial report	☐	☐
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	☐	☐
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	☒	☒

Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- ☐ (A) We engage with policymakers directly
- ☐ (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers
- ☒ (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

Climate change

Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

☐ (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

☒ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

☐ (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

☒ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

☐ (A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

☐ (B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:

☐ (C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:

☐ (D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:

☐ (E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:

☐ (F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:

☐ (G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:

☒ (H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

- ☐ (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:
- ☐ (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:
- ☐ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:
- ☐ (D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:
- ☒ **(E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:**
 Where relevant, climate-related risks and opportunities are factored in whenever we are in processes with (potential) new managers and are also considered in the individual investment strategies of our existing subsidiaries.
- ☐ (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

- ☐ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:
- ☐ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:
- ☐ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:
- ☐ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:
- ☐ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:
- ☐ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:
- ☒ **(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:**
 This will depend on the specific investment strategies of our existing subsidiaries and/or (potential) new managers, and is considered on a case-by-case basis. For example, risk factors are identified and factored into investment evaluations in certain sectors, such as the energy sector (both renewable energy and fossil fuels), where this is relevant.
- ☐ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified [as specified]	☐	☒	☒	☐
	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–30 years	(7) >30 years	
(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified [as specified]	☐	☐	☐	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

- ☐ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:
☐ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:
☐ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:
☐ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:
☐ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:
☐ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:
☐ (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:
☒ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

- ☐ (A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario
- ☐ (B) An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response
- ☐ (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario
- ☐ (D) Other climate scenario, specify:
- ☒ (E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

Sustainability outcomes

Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- ☒ (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
- ☐ (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- ☒ (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☐ (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☒ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- ☒ (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- ☐ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- ☐ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- ☐ (G) Our stewardship approach
- ☐ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- ☐ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- ☒ (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☐ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- ☐ (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

- ☒ (A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- ☐ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- ☐ (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- ☒ (D) Stewardship results
- ☒ (E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable
- ☐ (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- ☐ (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6

For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(A) Listed equity	(1) Quarterly
(F) Hedge funds	(1) Quarterly

Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- ☐ (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- ☐ (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report
- ☒ **(D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report**
- ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- ☐ (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)
- ☐ (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☒ **(I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI**
- ☐ (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?

(A) Board and/or trustees	(4) report not reviewed
(B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))	(1) the entire report
(C) Investment committee	(4) report not reviewed

	(D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:	(4) report not reviewed
	N/A	
	(E) Head of department, please specify:	(4) report not reviewed
	N/A	
	(F) Compliance/risk management team	(1) the entire report
	(G) Legal team	(1) the entire report
	(H) RI/ ESG team	(4) report not reviewed
	(I) Investment teams	(4) report not reviewed

Listed Equity (LE)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors across listed equities?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	●
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	○

(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets

○

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion

○

(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1.1	CORE	LE 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

(3) Active - Fundamental

(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors

☒

(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors

☒

(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon

☐

(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations

☒

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your listed equity assets?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all assets	☐
(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of assets	☐
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of assets	☐
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	☒

ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	☒
--	-------------------------------------

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations ☒

(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations ☒

(D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision-makers, and we do not track this process ☐

(E) We do not incorporate ESG risks into our financial modelling and equity valuations ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3.1	CORE	LE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following material ESG risks into your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active - Fundamental

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations (2) in the majority of cases

Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	☒
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	☒
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	☒
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	☒
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4.1	CORE	LE 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following information when assessing the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(3) in a minority of cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	☒
--	-------------------------------------

(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors ☐

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors ☒

(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process ☐

(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below) ☐

(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☐ (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance
- ☒ **(D) Other, please specify:**
 Pre-trade compliance checks are built into our OMS. This is implemented and checked by an independent Fund Operations team. Compliance team has oversight of this process.
- ☐ (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual listed equities

☒

(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level

☒

(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed ☐

(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency ☐

(E) We do not conduct reviews ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your listed equity assets?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions ☐

(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions ☒

(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions ☐

(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents ☐

(E) Other ☐

(F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making

Reporting/Disclosure

Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

	(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	☐	☐	☐	☒
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	☐	☐	☐	☒
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	☐	☐	☐	☒

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	4) In none of our stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	4) In none of our stakeholder reporting

Stewardship

Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)

- ☐ (A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s):
- ☒ (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available
- ☐ (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy (12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?

- ☐ (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:
- ☐ (B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:
- ☐ (C) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:
- ☒ (D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:
 ESG considerations are made whenever relevant

Alignment & effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 17	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment & effectiveness	2

When you use external service providers to give voting recommendations, how do you ensure that those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

(A) We review service providers' controversial and high-profile voting recommendations before voting is executed (1) in all cases

(B) Before voting is executed, we review service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear (1) in all cases

Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

- (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme. Add link(s):
- (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

- (A) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors or on our stewardship priorities
- (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal
- (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress
- (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default
- (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- ☐ (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☐ (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- ☐ (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☒ (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions
- ☐ (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

- ☐ (A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:
 - ☐ (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:
 - ☐ (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:
 - ☒ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:
- 18 Our subsidiaries share their voting history with their respective investors on request.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

- ☐ (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- ☐ (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- ☒ (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- ☐ (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- ☐ (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- ☐ (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- ☒ (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory

Hedge Fund (HF)

Policy

Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1, 4, 6

What activities and/or relationships are covered by your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies) and practices for your hedge fund assets?

- ☐ (A) Our ESG requirements of prime brokers
- ☐ (B) Our ESG requirements with administrators and custodians
- ☐ (C) Our ESG requirements regarding (proxy) voting service providers (or other third-party providers)
- ☐ (D) How breaches in our responsible investment policy are communicated with external parties and clients
- ☒ (E) **How ESG is incorporated into our long and/or short exposures**
- ☐ (F) How ESG is incorporated into our derivatives exposure
- ☐ (G) Whether sectors, issuers, equities and/or asset types are avoided due to ESG factors
- ☒ (H) **How we engage with underlying investees, issuers or real assets**
- ☐ (I) Our policies do not cover activities and/or relationships for our hedge fund assets

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 2	CORE	OO 10	HF 2.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors across your hedge fund strategies?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	☐
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	☒
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	☐
(D) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 2.1	CORE	HF 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	☒
---	-------------------------------------

(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	☒
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	☐
(D) The investment process incorporates the type of ESG factors and how they affect the shape of the risk profile on underlying exposures	☒

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 3	CORE	N/A	HF 3.1	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

How do you incorporate long-term ESG trends into your investment activities?

- ☒ (A) We use long-term ESG trends to identify opportunities that influence our sector exposure
- ☒ (B) We use long-term ESG trends to identify risks that influence our sector exposure
- ☒ (C) We use long-term ESG trends as part of our research process to identify investment opportunities
- ☒ (D) We use long-term ESG trends as part of our risk management process to manage investment positions
- ☒ (E) We use long-term ESG trends as part of our portfolio construction
- ☐ (F) We use long-term ESG trends to inform the development of our hedging strategies
- ☐ (G) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 3.1	CORE	HF 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

For what proportion of assets do you incorporate long-term ESG trends into your investment process?

- (A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all of our assets
- (B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of our assets
- (C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of our assets

ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 4	CORE	OO 10	HF 4.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your investment research incorporate material ESG risks and opportunities into the selection of equities, credit or other individual assets?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks and opportunities that may affect the products and services delivered by companies or issuers	☒
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks and opportunities that may affect the products and services delivered by companies or issuers	☒
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks and opportunities that may arise from how companies or issuers undertake their operations	☒

(D) We incorporate environmental and social risks and opportunities linked to a company's or issuer's supply chain ☒

(E) We incorporate environmental and social risks and opportunities into our investment research and decision-making for other asset types or financial instruments ☐

(F) Other, please specify below. ☐

(G) Our strategy does not invest in individual or single assets ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 4.1	CORE	HF 4	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following material ESG risks and opportunities into the selection of equities, credit or other individual assets?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks and opportunities that may affect the products and services delivered by companies or issuers	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks and opportunities that may affect the products and services delivered by companies or issuers	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks and opportunities that may arise from how companies or issuers undertake their operations	(2) in the majority of cases
(D) We incorporate environmental and social risks and opportunities linked to a company's or issuer's supply chain	(3) in a minority of cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 6	CORE	OO 10	HF 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	☒
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	☐
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	☒
(D) The selection of a specific benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	☐
(E) The construction of short positions is influenced by ESG factors	☒
(F) Other expressions of conviction, please specify below:	☐
(G) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 6.1	CORE	HF 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(E) The construction of short positions is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 8	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How does your assessment of emerging and potential ESG risks influence your investment strategy?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) Emerging and potential ESG risks influence our research, valuation and portfolio weightings of fixed income assets	☐
(B) Emerging and potential ESG risks influence our research, valuation and portfolio weightings of listed equities	☒
(C) Emerging and potential ESG risks influence our hedging strategies	☐

(D) Emerging and potential ESG risks influence our research, valuation and portfolio weightings of other asset types or financial instruments ☐

(E) Other, please specify below: ☐

(F) We do not assess emerging and potential ESG risks ☐

Post-investment phase

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 10	CORE	OO 6 HF	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☐ (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance
- ☒ **(D) Other, please specify:**
 Pre-trade compliance checks are built into our OMS. This is implemented and checked by an independent Fund Operations team. Compliance team has oversight of this process.
- ☐ (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 11	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

(2) Long/short equity

(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual securities	☒
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level	☒
(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed	☐
(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency	☐
(E) We do not conduct regular reviews of ESG risks	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your hedge fund assets?

- (A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions
- (B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions
- (C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions
- (D) **Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents**
- (E) Other, please specify:
- (F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making

Reporting/Disclosure

Disclosure on ESG products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 13	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure on ESG products	6

For your hedge fund financial products, what do you report to clients and/or other stakeholders?

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	(4) we do not report this information to clients and/or other stakeholders
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	(4) we do not report this information to clients and/or other stakeholders
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	(4) we do not report this information to clients and/or other stakeholders

Stewardship

Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 16	CORE	OO 9 HF	HF 16.1	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

- (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme Add link(s) [If adding several links, separate them with a comma]:
- (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) **Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme**

Alignment & effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 17	CORE	OO 9 HF	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment & effectiveness	2

When you use external service providers to give voting recommendations, how do you ensure that those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

(A) We review service providers' controversial and high-profile voting recommendations before voting is executed (1) in all cases

(B) Before voting is executed, we review service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear (1) in all cases

Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 19	CORE	OO 9 HF	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- ☐ (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☐ (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- ☐ (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☒ (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions
- ☐ (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 20	CORE	OO 9 HF	HF 20.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 6

Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

- ☐ (A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:
- ☐ (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:
- ☐ (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes Add: 1) Link, and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:
- ☒ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:
 - Our subsidiaries share their voting history with their respective investors on request.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 21	CORE	OO 9 HF	HF 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

- ☐ (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- ☐ (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- ☒ (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- ☐ (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
HF 22	CORE	OO 9 HF	HF 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- ☐ (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- ☐ (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- ☒ (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory